ORIGINAL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION FILED IN CLERK'S OFFICE

JAMES NATION, Clerk
Deputy Cons

FADIL DELKIC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

VLADIMIR DJURDJEV, Individually and/or d/b/a UNITED CARGO, INC., or d/b/a MARUNTE TRUCKING, INC., or d/b/a UNITED 2 LOGISTICS. INC., and/or d/b/a JOHN DOE COMPANY and/or JOHN DOE CORPORATION, AND BOJAN ("BOB") PANIC, Individually and/or d/b/a UNITED CARGO, INC., or d/b/a MARUNTE TRUCKING, INC., or d/b/a UNITED 2 LOGISTICS, INC., and/or d/b/a JOHN DOE COMPANY and/or JOHN DOE CORPORATION,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION FILE

1° 07-CV-1864

BBM

COMPLAINT & JURY TRIAL DEMAND

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby files his Complaint and Jury Demand and shows this Court as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. Jurisdiction over Plaintiff's federal claims is proper in this Court, in part, pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. §§ 1331 and 1341 (a)(3) and as there is diversity in citizenship of

Plaintiff and all Defendants and the amount in controversy exceeds this Court's \$75,000.00 subject matter jurisdictional requirements which trigger this Court's subject matter jurisdiction.

2. Under, in part, 28 U.S.C.A. § 1367, this Court also has pendant and supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's State law claims as, in part, Plaintiff's federal and State law claims arise out of a common nucleus of operative facts.

VENUE

3. Venue is proper in this Court as Plaintiff resides within this Court's judicial district and within the physical boundaries of the Atlanta Division thereof.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff is a resident of this Court's judicial district and within the physical boundaries of the Atlanta Division thereof and has been same at all times relevant hereto until the present time.
- 5. Joint-Tortfeasor and Defendant VLADIMIR DJURDJEV

 ("Djurdjev") is a citizen of the State of Illinois and may
 be served with Summons and Complaint at his Illinois
 residence, to wit: 1613 Highridge Parkway, Westchester,
 Illinois, 60154 and/or his business address, to wit: United
 2 Logistics, Inc., located at 2238 Landmeier Road, Elk Grove
 Village, Illinois, 60007.

- 6. According to admissions against interest by Defendant(s), at all times relevant hereto, Djurdjev was the alter ego of Joint-Tortfeasor and Defendant UNITED CARGO, INC., which owned and operated the trucking companies called Vladimir Djurdjev and Bojan Panic, upon information and belief, owned and operated same but a/k/a United Cargo/Maruntel Trucking (hereinafter, collectively, referred to as "United").
- 7. According to admissions against interest by Defendant(s), at all times relevant hereto, Djurdjev was the alter ego of Joint-Tortfeasor and Defendant MARUNTE TRUCKING, INC., which owned and operated a trucking company called Corneliu Maruntel or visa-versa and, upon information and belief, a/k/a United Cargo/Maruntel Trucking hereinafter, collectively, referred to as "Marunte").
- 8. According to admissions against interest by Defendant(s), at all times relevant hereto, Djurdjev is/was the alter ego of Joint-Tortfeasor and Defendant UNITED 2 LOGISTICS, INC. (hereinafter, collectively, referred to as "United 2").
- 9. According to admissions against interest by Defendant(s), at all times relevant hereto, Djurdjev was the alter ego of Joint-Tortfeasors and Defendants John Doe Company and John Doe Corporation (hereinafter, collectively, referred to as "John Doe Corporation/Company").
- 10. Joint-Tortfeasor and Defendant BOJAN ("BOB") PANIC ("Panic")

- is a citizen of the State of Illinois and may be served with Summons and Complaint at his Illinois place of business, to wit: United 2 Logistics, Inc., 2238 Landmeier Road, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007.
- 11. According to admissions against interest by Defendant(s), at all times relevant hereto, Panic was the alter ego of United.
- 12. According to admissions against interest by Defendant(s), at all times relevant hereto, Panic was the alter ego of Marunte albeit Djurdjev was signing "payroll" checks from United Cargo to pay some of the Marunte drivers-at least me.
- 13. According to admissions against interest by Defendant(s), at all times relevant hereto, Panic is/was the alter ego of United 2.
- 14. According to admissions against interest by Defendant(s), at all times relevant hereto, Panic was the alter ego of John Doe Corporation/Company.

PRELIMINARY REMARKS AND ALLEGATIONS

All previous paragraphs are incorporated herein-below:

15. Upon information and belief, the assets and/or property of United and Marunte ("company assets") were transferred to and/or converted by Djurdjev and Panic for their own use and enjoyment ("personal assets") and to hide said Company and personal assets in order to further their enterprises,

- schemes and/or illicit and/or illegal acts and omissions (hereinafter, collectively, "egregious acts").
- 16. Upon information and belief Marunte was/is the successor in fact and law of United and all of United's assets and liabilities passed to Marunte, Djurdjev and Panic; upon information and belief, United 2 was/is the successor in fact and law of Marunte and all of Marunte's assets and liabilities passed to United 2, Djurdjev and Panic; upon information and belief John Doe was/is the successor in fact and law of United 2 and all of United 2's assets and liabilities passed to John Doe, Djurdjev and Panic.
- 17. Djurdjev and Panic were former Serbian "concentration/death-camp" officials.
- 18. Plaintiff was Bosnian, and was held and tortured in such a camp for about eighteen (18) months.
- 19. At the time of his first encounter with Djurdjev and/or Panic, Plaintiff had only been in the United States for about 18 months on a work visa.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS AND SOME FUNDAMENTAL BASES FOR CLAIMS
All previous paragraphs are incorporated herein-below:

Preamble to Claims:

As Plaintiff is no longer employed by Defendants and as

Defendants have exclusive possession, custody and/or control of

much of the information and/or documents to support the following

claims against Defendants, each paragraph, when relating to or concerning information held by Defendants, shall be prefixed by the phrase "upon information and belief" reasonably relying upon those "other" sources as described herein whether or not specifically enumerated as such herein-below.

Generalized Fraud Claims:

Under the criminal activity exceptions found for the Intracorporation Conspiracy Doctrine in decisions relating to and concerning, in part, federal and Georgia RICO("RICO") by implication, Plaintiff shows that the subject and alleged corporations fall under those rulings and, as said corporations are merely the alter egos of Defendants Djurdjev and Panic, these two Defendants are, in fact, the corporations and visa versa.

Each of the following paragraphs are to be viewed in the light of Defendants' herein-below enumerated racketeering, nefarious, fraudulent and criminal activities in furtherance of its illicit and illegal schemes to deny said policy claims throughout this matter ("egregious acts"), from the hiring, paying, invoicing, federal and State reporting and other business transactions, and they are to be evaluated under Rule 9 in the context of and in the furtherance of those egregious acts whether or not those egregious acts are specifically enumerated therein, or not.

As show, these allegations thereby authorizing a jury to

award contract and tort penalties, punitive damages, treble RICO damages, attorney's fees and costs of litigation in favor of Plaintiff. Misrepresentations by Defendants were made for the purpose of inducing Plaintiff to act thereon and he did act thereon to his injury. Said misrepresentations were willful and knowingly false and were made by officers, employees, agents and/or co-conspirators of Defendants for the purpose of deceiving Plaintiff and said misrepresentations were material to Plaintiff in his decision to remain employed by Defendants and to continue to make trip and cargo premium payments on said load and trailer and brokerage payments on booked deliveries and pick-ups; while unaware, at the time, of the sham of a brokering trips, premium invoicing and/or claims investigation/processing, to his injury.

Each paragraph below shall be under the rubric that

Defendants intended to defraud Defendants through a pattern of misrepresentations, etc., said misrepresentations where known by Defendants to be false, Plaintiff reasonably believed and relied upon those false representations, which induced him to act to his injury as more specifically enumerated herein. Further, as the specific dates and times and persons involved in these egregious acts may not be know at present, Plaintiff will give Defendants, under in part, Rule 9, sufficient information to be put on notice of which of their specific fraudulent and egregious acts

Plaintiff has ferreted out to date, despite the fact that

Defendants' egregious acts were/are insidious and very secretive (as all such RICO conspiracies are) and the fact that Defendant has much, and in some cases, all of, this information in their sole possession, custody and control at this time (hopefully discovery will uncover same).

Defendants targeted Plaintiff simply because he was Bosnian, here on a work visa, spent time in a Serbian prison/death camp, was suspicious of law enforcement and/or governments and who was brought up to fear and not question Serbian authority and to trust them 100% regardless of the cost or damage to them and not to complain when wrongfully injured.

The term "and others" when used here shall and does include the captioned "John Does 1 to 6" and/or other co-conspirators.

- 20. From the later part of 2003 to the end of August 2004,

 Plaintiff was employed as a driver for Djurdjev ("Vladimir

 Djurdjev" was/is also the name of the company) and Plaintiff

 drove Djurdjev's truck and Djurdjev was also the dispatcher

 for Vladimir Djurdjev trucking and Plaintiff's supervisor.
- 21. When Plaintiff was hired, Djurdjev informed Plaintiff that he would have to be paid by the mile driven, e.g., at a rate of 36¢ times one-half of the miles driven for each load, or the equivalent of 18¢ per mile("18¢ per mile") and not an hourly wage plus 18¢ per mile as were the Serbian drivers.
- 22. Despite questioning Djurdjev, and others, about this

- apparent inequity, Plaintiff was told that he would have to become an Owner-Operator to get "full mileage" credit.
- 23. Plaintiff later determined that Djurdjev, and others, had reported to the IRS and Illinois that Plaintiff (as well as other "hoodwinked" drivers, had been paid the full 36¢ per mile and they had deducted same as business costs and expenses of operating their trucks and employing drivers.
- 24. Djurdjev, and others, illicitly and illegally converted 18¢ per mile for each and every load Plaintiff took for them from October 2003 through August 2004 (average 5400 miles per week or approximately 237,000 miles = \$42,560.00).
- 25. In October 2003, Plaintiff was involved in an accident in South Carolina taking a load from North Carolina to South Carolina for Djurdjev and while driving Djurdjev's truck.
- 26. Despite having been insured for said accident, Plaintiff was illicitly and/or illegally docked a total of \$1,000.00 for said accident.
- 27. Djurdjev, and others, have never provided Plaintiff with any explanation or accounting of the two \$500.00 wage deductions other than You have to pay \$1,000.00 for the accident. That is the way it is done in America; truck drivers pay for their own accidents—to paraphrase Djurdjev; later, Panic.
- 28. Having been only in the United States for a short time and not knowing "the way it is done" here, Plaintiff was forced

- to discontinue his efforts in obtaining a better explanation and/or an accounting of how the \$1000.00 was disbursed and/or for what is was used by them.
- 29. Djurdjev, and others, illicitly and illegally converted \$500.00 of Plaintiff's wages on or about 31 October 2003.
- 30. Djurdjev, and others, illicitly and illegally converted \$500.00 of Plaintiff's wages on or about 7 November 2003.
- 31. Because he could not obtain other employment, Plaintiff continued driving Djurdjev's truck until he was able to purchase a truck and become an Owner-Operator.
- 32. In late August 2004, Plaintiff entered into an agreement with Djurdjev and Panic to be an Owner-Operator under their brokerage firm, United, however, during 2004 into late 2005, Maruntel and United were being combined then separated, then combined again and then they were leasing each others' equipment and altering company/corporate names, DOT and State registrations and business locations (upon information and belief, ostensibly as part of their enterprise, schemes, predicate acts and racketeering activities to, in part, convert Plaintiff's funds, hide true ownership of the various trucking and/or booking firms (hereinafter, "trucking firms"), illicitly and/or illegally launder funds and/or transfer funds from one trucking firm to another so that Plaintiff could never access their assets and/or follow

any illicit and/or illegal paper trail—they hid these facts from federal and State agencies and filed false reports with them to cover their tracks and their illegal money laundering and other racketeering activities) such that loads 1 through 47 were through, for the most part, United, loads 48 through 56 were through, for the most part,

Maruntel and the remaining loads 57 through 97 were, for the most part, during the time which Defendants were evolving and switching from United to Maruntel to United/Maruntel to Maruntel/United 2; thus, for simplicity, and for time—line continuity, Plaintiff will refer to all such brokerage bookings and events as occurring through United or Maruntel (but, the terms "United" or "Maruntel" or "Defendants" herein-below shall and does include all these Defendants, individually or in concert and any John Doe yet uncovered).

33. On any payment for any load picked up and delivered by Plaintiff ("trip fee"), as owners and/or d/b/a United, Djurdjev and Panic (hereinafter, collectively, "United") charged a ten (10%) percent brokerage fee and, then in 2005, as owners and/or d/b/a Maruntel, Djurdjev and Panic (hereinafter, collectively, "Maruntel") charged an eleven (11%) percent or a twelve (12%) percent brokerage fee (the terms United and Maruntel are and shall be collectively referred to as "United" or "Defendants").

- 34. This trip fee was to be determined ONLY by the company (or by a larger broker subcontracting out to United or Maruntel) requiring load pick up and delivery and United was not supposed to adjust said trip fee downward (i.e., illicitly or illegally skim amounts off the top), retain the difference and obtain additional profits for United.
- 35. Further, United required Plaintiff to pay into escrow an "emergency fund" totaling \$1,000.00; which was deducted in four (4) equal \$250.00 installments from Plaintiff's weekly earnings.
- 36. Further, United required Plaintiff, as he did not own a trailer, to pay the cost of leasing a trailer for his truck ("rig") and United agreed to advance that cost.
- 37. This cost was to be determined by the lessor ONLY and United was not supposed to add any fees or costs thereto or inflate the cost of said rental to obtain additional profits for United.
- 38. Further, as Plaintiff did not have his own insurance, United required Plaintiff to reimburse United for the actual cost of insuring Plaintiff's rig, which United advanced for said required rig insurance.
- 39. This cost was to be determined by the insurer ONLY and
 United was not supposed to add any fees or costs thereto or
 inflate the cost of said rig insurance to obtain additional

- profits for United.
- 40. Further, as Plaintiff leased the trailer for his rig and he did not have his own insurance on that trailer, United required Plaintiff to reimburse United for the actual cost of insuring Plaintiff's leased trailer, which United advanced for said required leased-trailer insurance.
- 41. This cost was to be determined by the insurer ONLY and
 United was not supposed to add any fees or costs thereto or
 inflate the cost of said trailer insurance to obtain
 additional profits for United.
- 42. Further, as Plaintiff did own the cargo he was hauling and did not have his own cargo insurance, United required Plaintiff to reimburse United for the actual cost of insuring the cargo which Plaintiff hauled and United agreed to advance said cargo insurance for Plaintiff.
- 43. This cost was to be determined by the insurer ONLY and
 United was not supposed to add any fees or costs thereto or
 inflate the cost of said cargo insurance to obtain
 additional profits for United.
- 44. As part of their agreement United debited Plaintiff's payments for fuel tax, however, this cost was to be determined by the federal and State regulation ONLY and United was not supposed to add any fees or costs thereto or inflate the cost of said fuel tax to obtain additional

profits for United.

- 45. As part of his agreement with United, Plaintiff was to receive \$35.00 per hour wait time and \$0.50 per mile when he had to travel with an empty trailer for pick ups; but, when Plaintiff was required to pay for an unloader, the broker or shipper would reimburse him through United and United was not to include that amount as part of a trip fee and/or and was not to take a brokerage fee out of same and/or convert same to its own use and/or enjoyment.
- 46. As part of his agreement with United, Plaintiff was allowed to receive advances while on the road for fuel, repairs, rig and/or trailer maintenance, emergencies, food, lodging, or the like ("advances"), which he agreed to reimburse dollar-for-dollar out of his next check.
- 47. It was agreed that United would receive the trip fees directly from the shippers or through subcontracting brokers, deduct United's 10% brokerage fees, deduct United's payment to the insurer for Plaintiff's rig, deduct United's payment to the insurer for the leased trailer, deduct United's payment for the leasing of the trailer and deduct any advances made to Plaintiff during that pay cycle or any advances due from prior cycles.
- 48. Some of the aforementioned brokers during all times relevant hereto were/are: C.H. Robinson-Chicago Central; Quebecor

World Logistics; Turbo Logistics-Expedite; Schneider
National, Inc.; Transportation Solutions Group, LLC.; Hub
City terminals, Inc.; Custom Companies, Inc.; Alert cargo
Express, inc.; DUNI Corporation c/o BAX Global Supply Chain
Management; ROC Transport, Inc.; Swan transportation
Service, LTD.; Sureway transportation Company; Volume
Transportation Service; World Logistics Group, Inc.; First
Cut Produce, Inc.; Damiron Transportation Service, Inc.;
Nationwide Transportation, Inc.; American Distribution
Service, Inc.; and, Exel Transportation Service.

- 49. The aforementioned trailer lessor was/is Crystal Leasing,
 LLC., 7700 Brush Hill Road, Suite 222, Burr ridge, Illinois
 60527 (It should be noted here that United Cargo was renting
 trailers from the Crystal Company; and, before Defendant(s)
 rented from Crystal Company he/they/it also rented from
 Systems Transportation Equipment, Incorporated, located at
 2700 Madison Avenue, Bellwood, IL 60104-2218, office phone
 number: 1 (708) 547-5500.
- 50. The aforementioned insurers for Plaintiff's rig, Plaintiff's leased trailer and/or the cargo Plaintiff hauled were/are, in part: American International Group ("AIG"), Euclid Insurers, American Contractors Indemnity Company, Canal Insurance Company, Illinois National Insurance Company and Federal Insurance Company.

- MORE SPECIFIC EGREGIOUS AND ILLEGAL ACTS BY DEFENDANTS AND THEIR

 CO-CONSPIRATORS (for RICO predicate acts and Rule 9 "testing")

 All previous paragraphs are incorporated herein-below:

 (Note: All dollar amounts herein rounded up to nearest \$1.00)
- 51. August 2004 (Load #1): No cargo insurance advanced by
 United but Plaintiff charged \$38.00 for same, Plaintiff
 overcharged \$5.00 for trailer rental and Plaintiff paid
 \$15.00 for fuel tax but same was never submitted for him by
 United as agreed (sub total = \$58.00).
- 52. September 2004 (Loads #2 to #15): United skimmed \$290.00 from trip fees, no cargo insurance advanced by United but Plaintiff debited \$585.00, Plaintiff overcharged \$32.00 for trailer rental, Load #8, Plaintiff drove empty for 650 mile but never paid \$325.00 fee by United and Plaintiff paid \$127.00 for fuel tax but same was never submitted for him by United (\$1,369.00; sub total= \$1,427.00)
- 53. October 2004 (Loads #16 to 30): United skimmed \$1,000.00 from trip fees, no cargo insurance advanced by United but Plaintiff debited \$638.00, Plaintiff overcharged \$37.00 for trailer rental, and Plaintiff paid \$123.00 for fuel tax but same was never submitted by United (\$1,369.00; sub total = \$2,796.00)
- 54. November 2004 (Loads #31 to 40): United skimmed \$450.00 from trip fees, no cargo insurance advanced by United but

- Plaintiff debited \$450.00, Plaintiff overcharged \$31.00 for trailer rental, and Plaintiff paid \$148.00 for fuel tax but same was never submitted by United (\$1,080.00; sub total = \$3,876.00)
- 55. December 2004 (Loads #41 to 47): United skimmed \$300.00 from trip fees, no cargo insurance advanced by United but Plaintiff debited \$548.00, Plaintiff overcharged \$32.00 for trailer rental, and Plaintiff paid \$263.00 for fuel tax but same was never submitted by United (\$1,045.00; sub total = \$4,921.00)
- 56. January 2005 (Loads #48 to 59): United skimmed \$475.00 from trip fees, no cargo insurance advanced by United but Plaintiff debited \$450.00, Plaintiff overcharged \$35.00 for trailer rental, and Plaintiff paid \$90.00 for fuel tax but same was never submitted by United (\$1,048.00; sub total = \$4,928.00)
- 57. February 2005 (Loads #60 to 70): United skimmed \$50.00 from trip fees, no cargo insurance advanced by United but Plaintiff debited \$660.00, Plaintiff overcharged \$37.00 for trailer rental, and Plaintiff paid \$152.00 for fuel tax but same was never submitted by United (\$899.00; sub total = \$5.823.00)
- 58. March 2005 (Loads #71 to 84): United skimmed \$200.00 from trip fees, no cargo insurance advanced by United but

- Plaintiff debited \$600.00, Plaintiff overcharged \$35.00 for trailer rental, and Plaintiff paid \$123.00 for fuel tax but same was never submitted by United (\$958.00; sub total = \$6,781.00)
- 59. April 2005 (Loads #84 to 97): United skimmed \$150.00 from trip fees, no cargo insurance advanced by United but Plaintiff debited \$440.00, Plaintiff overcharged \$29.00 for trailer rental, and Plaintiff paid \$10.00 for fuel tax but same was never submitted by United (\$629.00; final minimal total = \$7,410.00)
- 60. Thus, at minimum, Defendants illicitly and illegally converted \$7,410.00 of Plaintiff's funds by illegally skimming trip fees earned by Plaintiff, by illicitly and illegally charging Plaintiff for cargo insurance which they never had on Plaintiff's loads or which they illegally set themselves up as a DOT insurer and issued "policies" as such "alternate insurer" in Illinois and for DOT, by illicitly and illegally overcharging Plaintiff for the third-party leasing of his trailer(s) and by illicitly and illegally charging Plaintiff for fuel taxes which they never paid.
- 61. Further, Defendants listed miscellaneous charges on nearly every weekly pay period invoice while Plaintiff was an employee and/or Owner-Operator and, to this day, Defendants refuse to give Plaintiff any explanation for those charges

- or an accounting thereof despite repeated requests for same.
- 62. The total amount of these "phantom charges" exceeds \$2,500.00 plus 18% per annum interest from date of charge.
- 63. These egregious acts by Defendants, individually and in concert, give rise to federal and State claims enumerated herein and/or as ferreted-out during discovery, and, to the limit provided by law, Defendants are jointly and severally liable for any and all damages arising therefrom.
- And/or illegal acts, inter alia, as part of their enterprise and schemes to deprive Plaintiff of his property, funds and financial resources, and other personal resources, by and through said schemes, predicate acts and nefarious and racketeering activities (see, in part, the federal and State claims enumerated below) and any and all such RICO, intentional torts and/or racketeering and nefarious schemes and activities impacting and/or directed at Plaintiff.

CLAIMS

Federal Claims:

All previous paragraphs are incorporated herein-below:
Mail Fraud:

65. For the within and/or foregoing reasons and by and through subject egregious acts enumerated herein, Defendants used the mails in furtherance of their schemes, enterprise and

- nefarious and racketeering activities.
- 66. Thus, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for all damages arising form their Mail Fraud.

Wire Fraud:

- 67. For the within and/or foregoing reasons and by and through subject egregious acts enumerated herein, Defendants used the wires in furtherance of their schemes, enterprise and nefarious and racketeering activities.
- 68. Thus, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for all damages arising form their Wire Fraud.

Conspiracy:

- 69. For the within and/or foregoing reasons and by and through subject egregious acts enumerated herein, Defendants used various conspiracies in furtherance of their schemes, enterprise and nefarious and racketeering activities.
- 70. Thus, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for all damages arising form their conspiracies, individually or in concert.

False Swearing:

71. For the within and/or foregoing reasons and by and through subject egregious acts enumerated herein, Defendants used false swearings, bogus reports, fraudulent filings with State and federal agencies and the wires in furtherance of their schemes, enterprise and nefarious and racketeering activities.

72. Thus, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for all damages arising form their false swearings.

Extortion:

- 73. For the within and/or foregoing reasons and by and through subject egregious acts enumerated herein, Defendants used extortion in furtherance of their schemes, enterprise and nefarious and racketeering activities.
- 74. Thus, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for all damages arising form their extortion activities towards Plaintiff.

Federal RICO Act:

- 75. For the within and/or foregoing reasons, the subject egregious predicate acts enumerated herein evidence

 Defendants use of same in furtherance of their schemes, enterprise and nefarious and racketeering activities; all of which are predicate acts as defined by the federal RICO Act.
- 76. Thus, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for treble damages, Punitive damages, attorney fees and costs of litigation and all such like damages arising from such activities and/or all such nefarious schemes and activities directed at Plaintiff.

State Claims:

All previous paragraphs are incorporated herein-below: Georgia RICO Act:

77. For the within and/or foregoing reasons, the subject

- egregious predicate acts enumerated herein evidence

 Defendants use of same in furtherance of their schemes,

 enterprise and nefarious and racketeering activities; all of

 which are predicate acts as defined by the Georgia RICO Act.
- 78. Thus, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for treble damages, Punitive damages, attorney fees and costs of litigation and all such like damages arising from such racketeering and criminal activities or arising from all such nefarious schemes and activities directed at or impacting Plaintiff.
- 79. Under Georgia law, the proof of any RICO claims is by a preponderance of evidence.

Theft by Conversion:

- 80. For the within and/or foregoing reasons, the subject egregious predicate acts, such as theft by conversion, enumerated herein, evidence Defendants use of same in furtherance of their schemes, enterprise and nefarious and racketeering activities; all of which are predicate acts as defined by RICO and/or are torts under Georgia law.
- 81. Thus, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for all damages arising from such theft activities and/or all such nefarious schemes and activities directed at Plaintiff.

Theft by Deception:

82. For the within and/or foregoing reasons, the subject egregious predicate, acts such as theft by deception,

- enumerated herein, evidence Defendants' use of same in furtherance of their schemes, enterprise and nefarious and racketeering activities; all of which are predicate acts as defined by RICO and/or are torts under Georgia law.
- 83. Thus, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for all damages arising from such activities and/or all such nefarious schemes and activities directed at Plaintiff.

Fraud:

- 84. For the within and/or foregoing reasons (see, in part, specific acts and dates listed above) the subject egregious predicate acts, such as criminal, statutory and common law fraud enumerated herein, evidence Defendants use of same in furtherance of their schemes, enterprise and nefarious and racketeering activities; all of which may be predicate acts as defined by RICO Act and/or are tort under Georgia law.
- 85. Thus, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for all damages arising from such fraudulent activities and/or all such nefarious schemes and activities directed at Plaintiff.

Breach of Contract and/or Breach of Fiduciary Duty:

86. For the within and/or foregoing reasons, the subject egregious predicate acts, tortuous breach of contract and/or breach of fiduciary duties enumerated herein, evidence Defendants use of same in furtherance of their schemes, enterprise and nefarious and racketeering activities; all of

- which may be predicate acts as defined by RICO and/or are intentional torts and/or negligent torts under Geogia law.
- 87. Thus, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for all damages arising from such activities and/or directed at Plaintiff.

Conspiracy:

- 88. For the within and/or foregoing reasons, the subject egregious predicate acts, conspiracy, individually or in concert with other Defendant(s) or John Does enumerated herein, evidence Defendants use of same in furtherance of their schemes, enterprise and nefarious and racketeering activities; all of which are predicate acts as defined by RICO and/or are torts under Georgia law.
- 89. Thus, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for, i.e., treble RICO damages arising from such activities and/or all such nefarious schemes and activities directed at Plaintiff.

False Swearings:

- 90. For the within and/or foregoing reasons, the subject egregious predicate acts, false swearings as enumerated herein, evidence Defendants use of same in furtherance of their schemes, enterprise and nefarious and racketeering activities; all of which are predicate acts as defined by RICO and/or are torts under Georgia law.
- 91. Thus, Defendants, individually and severally, to the extent allowed by law, are liable to Plaintiff for all damages

arising from such activities and/or such nefarious schemes and activities directed at, which injured, Plaintiff.

Extortion:

- 92. For the within and/or foregoing reasons, the subject egregious predicate acts, extortion as enumerated herein, evidence Defendants use of same in furtherance of their schemes, enterprise and nefarious and racketeering activities; all of which are predicate acts as defined by RICO and/or are torts under Georgia law.
- 93. Thus, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for all damages arising from such activities and/or such nefarious schemes and activities directed at, which injured, Plaintiff.

Stubborn Litigiousness:

- 94. Despite repeated attempts to resolves these matters,

 Defendants have repeatedly ignored Plaintiff's pleas for a

 reasonable resolution and have forced Plaintiff to hire

 counsel and file this action; all of which has caused

 Plaintiff undue bother, inconvenience and trouble as defined

 by the Georgia within its "Stubbornly Litigious" torts.
- 95. Therefore, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff of all damages arising frm their stubborn litigiousness, including, but not limited to, attorney fees and costs of litigation.

Combined Federal and State Claim(s):

All previous paragraphs are incorporated herein-below:

Plaintiff, by way of further enumerating his specific claims, injuries to his assets and specific monetary damages, offers the following:

Special Damages:

96.	Plaintiff	claims	the	following,	to-date,	special	damages:
-----	-----------	--------	-----	------------	----------	---------	----------

\$ 42,500.00	(MILEAGE AMOUNTS CONVERTED);
\$ 7,410.00	(CONVERTED TRIP FEES, ETC.); AND,
\$ 2,500.00	(PHANTOM CHARGES CONVERTED).
\$ 52,410.00	PARTIAL TOTAL FUNDS CONVERTED; AND,
\$ 6,500.00	ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS TO DATE.

\$ 58,910.00 TOTAL SPECIAL DAMAGES TO DATE.

Miscellaneous Allegations and/or Claims For Damages:

All previous paragraphs are incorporated herein-below:

- 97. Defendants, upon information and belief, have illicitly transferred funds and assets between companies and then, finally, to Defendant United 2 Logistics, their alter ego, to hide same from Plaintiff, creditors and the IRS.
- 98. Defendants, upon information and belief, are now claiming to the DOT, etc., that they only have 16 trucks to DOT when they, in fact, have 50 trucks, at least, under their possession, custody and control.
- Defendants, upon information and belief, only pay insurance 99. Page 26 of 27

for 16 and/or forged the paperwork for the other 34 trucks.

100. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend or supplement his within allegations, claims, damages and prayers for relief as new or better information is obtained through the discovery process or otherwise.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this case be tried before a jury of twelve and that this Court award him special damages in the amount of at least \$58,910.00 and compensatory, consequential and punitive damages, and reasonable attorney fees and costs of litigation in an amount as awarded by a fair and impartial jury, treble RICO damages, stubbornly litigious damages and award such further and such other relief this Court deems fair, just and/or equitable.

Respectfully submitted,

VILLANI

 \mathtt{Assod} \mathtt{IATES} .

Ralp 'ni 72**/**7700 GA В

2055-C Scenic Hwy., N. Suite 404 Snellville, GA 30078-6167

(TEL) (770) 985-6773

(FAX) (770) 979-5190

(E/M) <u>law2001@bellsouth.net</u>

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF

(Referral)

JS44 (Rev. 5/05 NDGA)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

ORIGINAL

The JS44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required except as provided by local rules of court. This form is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket record. (SEE 07-CV-1864 INSTRUCTIONS ATTACHED) I. (a) PLAINTIFF(S) FADIL DELKIC VLADIMIR DJURDJEV, BOJAN ("BOB") PANIC, ET AL. (b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED GWINNETT COUNTY. GA COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED **PLAINTIFF** DEFENDANT (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (IN U.S. PLTF. CASES ONLY) NOTE. IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF T TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED (c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, TELEPHONE ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN) NUMBER, AND E-MAIL ADDRESS) Ralph J. Villani GA Bar No. 727700 2055-C Scenic Hwy., N. Suite 404 Snellville GA 30078-6167 III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT) (FOR DIVERSITY CASES ONLY) PLF DEF DEF 1 U.S. GOVERNMENT 3 FEDERAL QUESTION 1 CITIZEN OF THIS STATE INCORPORATED OR PRINCIPAL (U.S. GOVERNMENT NOT A PARTY) PLAINTIFF PLACE OF BUSINESS IN THIS STATE 2 U.S. GOVERNMENT DIVERSITY 2 CITIZEN OF ANOTHER STATE INCORPORATED AND PRINCIPAL PLACE (INDICATE CITIZENSHIP OF DEFENDANT OF BUSINESS IN ANOTHER STATE PARTIES IN ITEM (II) CITIZEN OR SUBJECT OF A FOREIGN COUNTRY 6 FOREIGN NATION IV. ORIGIN (PLACE AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY) TRANSFERRED FROM APPEAL TO DISTRICT ORIGINAL 2 REMOVED FROM 3 REMANDED FROM 4 REINSTATED OR ANOTHER DISTRICT 6 MULTIDISTRICT 7 JUDGE FROM MAGISTRATE APPELLATE COURT L PROCEEDING STATE COURT REOPENED (SPECIFY DISTRICT) LITIGATION JUDGE JUDGMENT V. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE - DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY) (IF COMPLEX, CHECK REASON BELOW) 6. Problems locating or preserving evidence Unusually large number of parties. 2. Unusually large number of claims or defenses. Pending parallel investigations or actions by government. 3. Factual issues are exceptionally complex **₹8. M**ultiple use of experts. 4. Greater than normal volume of evidence Need for discovery outside United States boundaries. 5. Extended discovery period is needed. 10. Existence of highly technical issues and proof. **CONTINUED ON REVERSE** FOR OFFICE USE ONLY _ AMOUNT \$ APPLYING IFP ___ MAG. JUDGE (IFP)

SOURCECOMENT OF LOCKERY MATTER SOFT PROCESSORY OF CONSPRENANCE OF THE CONTROL OF	T - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK		
BIS CONTROLLED ALLOWIND STROCKIT LOWS SECL. METERNAS STROCKIT LOWS SEC. METERNAS STROCKIT LOWS SEC. METERNAS STROCKIT LOWS SEC. METERNAS STROCKIT LOWS SEC. METERNAS STROCKIT LOWS SECL. METERNAS STROCKIT LOWS SEC. METERNAS			SOCIAL SECURITY - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY
SPREADAR PROCESS OF CHARTES STUDENT LOANS EXCL. PERRANS STREECORY OF CORPANIENTS VETERANS BEACHTS STREECORY OF CORPANIENTS VETERANS BISECORY OF CORPANIENTS VETERANS BISECORY OF CORPANIENTS VETERANS BISECORY TRACK INDIVIDUAL TO CORPANIENTS BISECORY TRACK INDIVIDUAL TO CORPANIENTS BISECORY TRACK INDIVIDUAL SPREAD BISECORY TRACK INDIVIDUAL SPR		=	
STARECOMENY OF COMERNAMENT OF VETTER STATEMENT STARECOMENT STARECO	52 RECOVERY OF DEFAULTED		862 BLACK LUNG (923)
SETTING BENEFITS	STUDENT LOANS (EXCL, VETERANS)		863 DłWC (405(g))
45 HOUSENG ACCOMMODATIONS			
## WELFARE 100 MARNE 100 M	VETERAN'S BENEFITS	=	☐ 864 SSID TITLE XVI
100 NSIRANCE		_	865 RSI (405(g))
201 MARNE			EEDERAL TAY PLUTE TAT MONTHS 010001/CDV
SOME PRODUCT LABILITY SOME PRODUCT SOME PRODUCT LABILITY SOME PRODUCT LABILITY SOME PRODUCT LABILITY SOME PRODUCT LABILITY SOME PRODUCT LABILITY SOME PRODUCT LABILITY SOME PRODUCT LABILITY SOME PRODUCT LABILITY SOME PRODUCT LABILITY SOME PRODUCT LABILITY SOME PRODUCT LABILITY SOME PRODUCT LABILITY SOME PRODUCT LABILITY SOME PRODUCT LABILITY SOME PRODUCT LABILITY SOME PRODUCT LABILITY SOME PRODUCT LABILITY SOME PRODUCT LABILITY SOME PRODUCT LABILITY SOME PR			
MAN REGOTABLE INTERVIENT 151 MEDICARE ACT 151 MEDICARE ACT 150 STORDER CONTRACT 150 SCONTRACT REPODUCT LIABILITY 150 STORDER CONTRACT 150 STORDER STATUTES - "4" MONTRS DISCOVERY 150 STORDER STATUTES - "4" MONTRS DISCOVERY 150 STORDER STATUTES - "5" MONTRS DISCOVERY 150 STATUTES - "5" MO			870 TAXES (U.S. PLAINTIFF OR
Tild STOCOHOLDERS SITE 198 OTHER CONTRACT 198 OTHER STATUTES - 198	0 NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT		DEFENDANT)
SIS OFFICE CONTRACT PRISONER PETITIONS - '0' MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK SOMEOTICAL SENTENCE SOMEOTICAL	11 MEDICARE ACT	Other	871 IRS - THIRD PARTY 26 USC 7609
Se CONTRACT PRODUCT LIABILITY SOUTHWEST TO VACATE SENTENCE Houstrate Reappoints Ho	60 STOCKHOLDERS' SUITS		
196 FRANCHISE SIGNOTIONS IO VACATE BERTENDE AGGINNS AND BANNIN BEAL PROPERTY - 15" MONTHS DISCOVERY SAGENAS AND BANNIN BEAL			OTHER STATUTES - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY
SOH-MARKS CORPUS SAD-MARKS CO			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
REAL PROPERTY: "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 200 FORCE CUSIVE 200 FORCE CUSI	6 FRANCHISE		
Sed MANDAME, S. O. H. ER	DEDTY "4" MONTHS DISCOVEDY	=	450 COMMERCE/ICC RATES/ETC.
2010AND CONDENNATION	ERTT 4 MONTHS DISCOVERT		
D20 FORECLOSURE 20 FORECLOSURE 20 FORET LASES & LECTMENT 20 TORTS TO LAND PRISONER PETITIONS - "3" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 20 FORET PRODUCT LABILITY 20 FORET PRODUCT LABILITY 310 ARPLANE PRODUCT LABILITY 320 FASSARIAT, IBBEL & SLANDER 330 FORDER LABILITY 330 FORDER PERSONAL INJURY 330 FORDER PERSONAL PROPERTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 340 FORDER PERSONAL PROPERTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 350 FORDER PERSONAL PROPERTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 350 FORDER PERSONAL PROPERTY 400 FORDER PERSONAL PROPERTY 500 FORDER PER	0 LAND CONDEMNATION		470 RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND
200 RENT LEASE & ELECTMENT 480 CONSUMER CREDIT 480 CONSUMER	0 FORECLOSURE		CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS
## 24 STORT PRODUCT LABILITY ## 25 OALL OTHER REAL PROPERTY ## 35 OAL OTHER REAL PROPERTY		· ·	480 CONSUMER CREDIT
200 ALL OTHER REAL PROPERTY	<u></u>		490 CABLE/SATELLITE TV
DORATS - PERSONAL INJURY - "4" MONTHS SECOVERY TRACK SECONOMIC STABLE SECONOMIC			810 SELECTIVE SERVICE
Bot AGRICU-TURAL ACT STATUTES Bot AGRICU-TURAL ACT Bot AGRICU-TURAL Bot FEREONAL PRICOPET Bot AGRICU-TURAL Bot AGRICU-TURAL Bot FEREONAL PRICOPET Bot AGRICU-TURAL Bot FEREONAL PRICOPET Bot AGRICU-TURAL Bot AGRICU-TURAL Bot FEREONAL PRICOPET Bot AGRICU-TURAL Bot FEREONAL PRICOPET Bot AGRICU-TURAL Bot AGRICU-TURAL Bot FEREONAL PRICOPET Bot AGRICU-TURAL Bot FEREONAL PRICOPET Bot AGRICU-TURAL Bot AGRICU-TURAL Bot FEREONAL PRICOPET Bot AGRICU-TURAL Bot FEREONAL PRICOPET Bot AGRICU-TURAL	UALL OTHER REAL PROPERTY		875 CUSTOMER CHALLENGE 12 USC
DISCOVERY TRACK 310 ARPLANE 315 ARPLANE PRODUCT LIABILITY 320 ASSAULT LIBEL & SLANDER 320 ASSAULT LIBEL & SLANDER 330 ASSAULT LIBEL & SLANDER 340 ASSAULT	DOMAL IN HIERV. FAT MONTHS	Employer GUNDITION(S) (Filed by Counsel)	
310 ARRIPANE 315 ARRIPANE PRODUCT LIABILITY 320 ASSAULT LIBBLE & SLANDER 310 ARRIPANE PRODUCT LIABILITY 320 ASSAULT LIBBLE & SLANDER 330 PEDERAL BURLOYERS LIABILITY 330 PEDERAL BURLOYERS LIABILITY 330 PEDERAL BURLOYERS LIABILITY 340 PEDERAL BURLOYERS LIABILITY 340 PEDERAL BURLOYERS LIABILITY 350 OTHER PERSONAL INJURY 350 OTHER PERSONAL INJURY 350 OTHER PERSONAL INJURY 350 OTHER PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT 10 BURLOYERS LIABILITY 350 OTHER PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT 10 BURLOYERS LIABILITY 350 ARRIVAR PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT 10 BURLOYERS LIABILITY 350 LABORRACHAT REPORTING & 350 SECURITIES COMM 350 SECURITIE			
336 ASPEJANE PRODUCT LUBBLITY 330 FEDERAL EMPLOYER'S LUBBLITY 330 FEDERAL EMPLOYER'S LUBBLITY 330 FEDERAL EMPLOYER'S LUBBLITY 340 MARRINE 350 MOTOR VEHICLE	O AIDDY AND	ORFEITURE/PENALTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY	892 ECONOMIC STABILIZATION ACT 893 ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS
300 ASSAULT, LIBEL & SLANDER 300 A			894 ENERGY ALLOCATION ACT
300 FEDERA LAPALOYERS LABILITY 300 MARNINE 300 MARNINE 300 MOTOR VEHICLE 300 MOTOR VEHICLE 300 MOTOR VEHICLE 300 MOTOR VEHICLE PRODUCT LABILITY 300 MOTOR VEHICLE 300 MOTOR V			895 FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT
349 MARINE PRODUCT LABILITY 350 MOTOR VEHICLE 350 OTHER STATUTES 350 OTHER S	0 FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY	620 FOOD & DRUG	900 APPEAL OF FEE DETERMINATION
## 345 MARINE PRODUCT LIABILITY #PROPERTY 21 USC 881		625 DRUG RELATED SEIZURE OF	UNDER EQUAL ACCESS TO
SSEMOTOR VEHICLE PRODUCT LABILITY SEG AIRLINE RE.CS. SOOTHER STATUTES S		PROPERTY 21 USC 881	
LUBILITY 650 AIR.INE RECS. 800 COLPATIONAL SAFETY-HEALTH 650 AIR.INE RECS. 980 OTHER STATUTORY 650 OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY-HEALTH 650 COLPATIONAL SAFETY-HEALTH 750 COLPATIONAL SAFETY-HEALTH		•	950 CONSTITUTIONALITY OF STATE
380 OTHER PERSONAL INJURY 680 OCCUPATIONAL SAFETYMEALTH OTHER STATUTES."# MONTHS ITRACK OTHER STATUT		h	STATUTES
362 PERSONAL INJURY - MEDICAL			890 OTHER STATUTORY ACTIONS
MALPRACTICE 365 PERSONAL INJURY - PRODUCT LABOR - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 1410 ANTITRUST 1700 FABR LABOR STANDARDS ACT 1700 FABR STANDARDS A			
385 PERSONAL INJURY - PRODUCT LABILITY TIOFAR LABOR - "" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 10 ANTITRUIST 10 FAR LABOR TATION/RDS ACT 10 FAR STATUTES - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 10 FAR STATUTES - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRAC			OTHER STATUTES - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY
LIABILITY		AROR - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK	
388 ASBESTOS PERSONAL NURY PRODUCT LIABILITY DISCLOSUPE ACT DISCLOSUPE ACT TAO RAILWAY LABOR ACT DISCLOSUPE ACT TAO RAILWAY LABOR ACT DISCOVERY TRACK DISCOVERY DIVIDING (Note: Please mark underly) Suit as well) * PLEASE NOTE DISCOVERY TRACK FOR EACH CAS TYPE. SEE LOCAL RUL 26.3 */II. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.IV.P. 23 DEMAND \$ URY DEMAND YES NO (CHECK YES ONLY IF DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT) */III. RELATED/REFILED CASE(S) IF ANY JUDGE DOCKET NO. CIVIL CASES ARE DEEMED RELATED IF THE PENDING CASE INVOLVES: {CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX}	-		850 SECURITIES / COMMODITIES /
PRODUCT LIABILITY DISCLOSURE ACT DISCLOSURE TATES.**0** MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK DISCLOSURE ACT DISCLOSURE TATES.**0** MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK DISCLOSURE ACT ARBITRATION ARBITRATION ARBITRATION ARBITRATION (CONFIRM/ACATE/OR NOTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY PROPERTY RIGHTS.**4** MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK TRACK DEPOPERTY RIGHTS.**8** MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK TRACK TRACK TRACK TRACK TRACK TRACK TRACK TRACK TRACK TRACK TRACK TYPE. SEE LOCAL RUL 26.3 VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R. CIV.P. 23 DEMAND \$ URY DEMAND TYPE. IN O (CHECK YES ONLY IF DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT) VIII. RELATED/REFILED CASE(S) IF ANY JUDGE DOCKET NO. CIVIL CASES ARE DEEMED RELATED IF THE PENDING CASE INVOLVES: (CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX) THE PROPERTY INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT.		720 LABORIMGMT. RELATIONS	
TORTS - PERSONAL PROPERTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK CONFIRMWACATE/OR CONFIRMMACATE/OR CONFIRMMACATE/		730 LABORMOMT REPORTING &	
188COVERY TRACK		DISCLOSURE ACT	
370 OTHER FRAUD		<u> </u>	
371 TRUTH INLENDING CONFIRMACATE/OR		=	
380 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY PROPERTY RIGHTS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY IRACK S20 COPYRIGHTS S20 COPYR		791 EMPL: RET. INC. SECURITY ACT	(CONFIRMVACATE/ORDERMODIFY)
DAMAGE S20 COPYRIGHTS S20 COPYRIGHTS Suit as well		COPERTY DIGUES - HAIL MONTHS DIDOCHES	(OCH WWWACATEORDERWIODER)
385 PROPERTY DAMAGE PRODUCT 320 COPYRIGHTS 340 TRADEMARK 340 TRADEMAR			(Note: Please mark underlying Nature of
PROPERTY RIGHTS - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK FOR EACH CASTYPE. SEE LOCAL RUL 26.3 VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.Civ.P. 23 DEMAND \$		- Table - Carlotte - C	Suit as well)
PROPERTY RIGHTS - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK FOR EACH CAS TYPE. SEE LOCAL RUL 26.3 VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.IV.P. 23 DEMAND \$,
TRACK FOR EACH CAS TYPE. SEE LOCAL RUL 26.3 VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.IV.P. 23 DEMAND \$	LF CILIT	<u> </u>	* PLEASE NOTE DISCOVERY
TYPE. SEE LOCAL RUL 26.3 VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: □ CHECK IF CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.IV.P. 23 DEMAND \$			TRACK FOR EACH CASE
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: ☐ CHECK IF CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.CIV.P. 23 DEMAND \$	<u>TR</u>		TYPE, SEE LOCAL RULF
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: □ CHECK IF CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.CIV.P. 23 DEMAND \$ URY DEMAND ▼YES □ NO (CHECK YES ONLY IF DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT) VIII. RELATED/REFILED CASE(S) IF ANY JUDGE DOCKET NO. EVIL CASES ARE DEEMED RELATED IF THE PENDING CASE INVOLVES: {CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX} 1. PROPERTY INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT.		830 PATENT	
CHECK IF CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.IV.P. 23 DEMAND \$	QUESTED IN COMPLAINT		
URY DEMAND ✓ YES NO (CHECK YES ONLY IF DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT) /III. RELATED/REFILED CASE(S) IF ANY JUDGE DOCKET NO. EIVIL CASES ARE DEEMED RELATED IF THE PENDING CASE INVOLVES: (CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX) 1. PROPERTY INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
/III. RELATED/REFILED CASE(S) IF ANY JUDGE DOCKET NO CIVIL CASES ARE DEEMED RELATED IF THE PENDING CASE INVOLVES: (CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX) 1. PROPERTY INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT.			
VIII. RELATED/REFILED CASE(S) IF ANY JUDGE DOCKET NO CIVIL CASES ARE DEEMED RELATED IF THE PENDING CASE INVOLVES: (CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX) 1. PROPERTY INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT.	MAND YES NO (CHECK YES ONLY	IF DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT)	
JUDGE DOCKET NO CIVIL CASES ARE DEEMED RELATED IF THE PENDING CASE INVOLVES: (CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX) 1. PROPERTY INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT.			
JUDGE DOCKET NO CIVIL CASES ARE DEEMED RELATED IF THE PENDING CASE INVOLVES: (CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX) 1. PROPERTY INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT.	ELATED/REFILED CASE(S)	IF ANY	
CIVIL CASES ARE DEEMED RELATED IF THE PENDING CASE INVOLVES: (CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX) 1. PROPERTY INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT.			
1. PROPERTY INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT.	ES ARE DEEMED DELATED IS THE DENDIA		nov:
			BOX)
2. SAME ISSUE OF FACT OR ARISES OUT OF THE SAME EVENT OR TRANSACTION INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT.	SAME ISSUE OF FACT OR ARISES OUT OF THE SAME	WIE EVENT OR TRANSACTION INCLU DED IN AN EARLIER N U	JMBERED PENDING SUIT.
3. VALIDITY OR INFRINGEMENT OF THE SAME PATENT, COPYRIGHT OR TRADE/MARK INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT.			
4. APPEALS ARISING OUT OF THE SAME BANKRUPTCY CASE AND ANY CASE RELATED THERETO WHICH HAVE BEEN DECIDED BY THE SAME		Y CASE AND ANY CASE RELATED THERETO WHICH HAVE	BEEN DECIDED BY THE SAME
BANKRUPTCY JUDGE.			
5. REPETITIVE CASES FILED BY PRO SELITIGANTS.		O ON III TANE OLINIA SEE	
6. COMPANION OR RELATED CASE TO CASE(S) BEING SIMULTANEOUSLY FILED (INCLUDE ABBREVIATED STYLE OF OTHER CASE(S)):	COMPANION OR RELATED CASE TO CASE(S) BEING	G SIMULTANEOUSLY FILED (INCLUDE ABBREVIATED STYL	E OF OTHER CASE(S)):
7 ETILED POME OD ALL OF THE DADTICE AND IGNIES IN THE SAME OF THE			
7. EITHER SOME OR ALL OF THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO.		0 h	
	EITHER SOME OR ALL OF THE PARTIES AND ISSUES),W I-II CH
Hade I. Villauri (GABALAD 727200)	EITHER SOME OR ALL OF THE PARTIES AND ISSUES WAS DISMISSED. THIS CASE IS IS IS NO	S IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO IT (check one box) SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CASE.), WHICH

ORIGINAL

SAO 440 (Rev. 8/01) Summons in a Civil Action	UNIOINA	
Unit	TED STATES DIST	RICT COURT
NORTHERN	District of	GEORGIA
FADIL DELKIC, Plaintiff,		SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
V. VLADIMIR DJURDJEV a BOJAN ("BOB") PANIC, ET Defendants.	Γ AL.,	NUMBER:
		1:07-CV-1864
TO: (Name and address of Det	endant)	PERSONAL SERVICE DULY
`	DJEV, individually and d/b/a D stics, Inc. Road	efendant Corporations,
YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMO	ONED and required to serve or	PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY (name and address)
Ralph J. Villani, E GA Bar No. 7277		
2055-C Scenic H Suite 404 Snellville, GA 300	•	
(TEL) (770) 985- (FAX) (770) 979-		
(E/M) law2001@	bellsouth.net	
	e day of service. If you fail to nt. Any answer that you serv	do so, judgment by default will be taken against you e on the parties to this action must be filed with the
JAMES N. HATTEN	5 7 AUG	2007
CLERK	DATE	

SAO 440 (Rev. 8/01) Summons in a Civil Action

	RETURN OF SERVIC	E	
Service of the Summons and complaint was made by me	DATE.		
NAME OF SERVER (PRINT)	TITLE		
Check one box below to indicate appropriate meth	od of service		
☐ Served personally upon the defendant. Place			
Left copies thereof at the defendant's dwell discretion then residing therein.	ng house or usual place of ab	ode with a person of suitable age and	l
Name of person with whom the summons a	nd complaint were left:		
☐ Returned unexecuted:			
☐ Other (specify):			
ST.	ATEMENT OF SERVICE	FFFS	
TRAVEL SERVICE		TOTAL \$0.06	
Ι Γ	DECLARATION OF SERV		,
I declare under penalty of perjury under contained in the Return of Service and Statement Executed on	ent of Service Fees is true and	correct.	Лиштоп
Dac	Signature of server		i
	Address of Server		

%AO 440 (Rev. 8/01) Summons in a €

v. 8/01) Summons in a Civil Action	ORIGINA	L
United S	TATES DISTI	RICT COURT
NORTHERN	District of	GEORGIA
FADIL DELKIC, Plaintiff, V. VLADIMIR DJURDJEV and BOJAN ("BOB") PANIC, ET AL.,		SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
	CASE N	UMBER:
		07-CV-1864
TO: (Name and address of Defendant)		PERSONAL SERVICE ONLY
VLADIMIR DJURDJEV, ind 1613 Highridge Parkway. Westchester, Illinois 60154	•	efendant Corporations,
U ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and Ralph J. Villani, Esquire	d required to serve on	PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY (name and address)

Ralph **GA Bar No.** 727700

2055-C Scenic Hwy., N. Suite 404 Snellville, GA 30078-6167

(TEL) (770) 985-6773 (FAX) (770) 979-5190

(E/M) law2001@bellsouth.net

an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, within20	_days after service
of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be	e taken against you
for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action mu	ast be filed with the
Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.	

JAMES N. HATTEN	6 7 AUG 2907
CLERK	DATE

AO 440 (Rev. 8/01) Summons in a Civil Action		
R	RETURN OF SERVICE	
Service of the Summons and complaint was made by me ⁽¹⁾	DATE	
NAME OF SERVER (PRINT)	TOTAL:	
Check one box below to indicate appropriate method	od of service	
☐ Served personally upon the defendant. Place v	where served:	
 Left copies thereof at the defendant's dwelling discretion then residing therein. 	g house or usual place of abode with a person of suitable age and	
Name of person with whom the summons and	1 complaint were left:	
☐ Returned unexecuted:		
☐ Other (specify):		
STAT	TEMENT OF SERVICE FEES	
TRAVEL SURVICES	TOTAL \$0.00	
DE	ECLARATION OF SERVER	
I declare under penalty of perjury under contained in the Return of Service and Statement	or the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information of Service Fees is true and correct.	ation
Executed on	ignature of Server	
, Dute Sig	gilling	
Ad	ddress of Server	
71.0	var grade to	

ORIGINAL

SAO 440 (Rev. 8/01) Summons in a Civil Action

LIMITED	STATES	DISTRICT	COURT
UNITED	DIAILS	DISTRICT	COUNT

NORTHERN

District of

GEORGIA

FADIL DELKIC, Plaintiff,

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

V.
VLADIMIR DJURDJEV and
BOJAN ("BOB") PANIC, ET AL.,
Defendants.

CASE NUMBER:

1 · 07 - CV - 1864

PERSONAL SERVICE ONLY

TO: (Name and address of Defendant)

BOJAN ("BOB") PANIC, individually and d/b/a Defendant Corporations, c/o United 2 Logistics, Inc. 2238 Landmeier Road Elk rove Village, Illinois 60007

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve on PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY (name and address)

Ralph J. Villani, Esquire GA Bar No. 727700

2055-C Scenic Hwy., N. Suite 404 Snellville, GA 30078-6167

(TEL) (770) 985-6773 (FAX) (770) 979-5190

(E/M) law2001@bellsouth.net

an answer to the complaint which is served on you with this summons, within ______ days after service of this summons on you, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. Any answer that you serve on the parties to this action must be filed with the Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period of time after service.

JAMES N. HATTEN

0 7 AUG 2007

CLERK

DATE

(By) DEPUTY CLERK

SAO 440 (Rev. 8/01) Summons in a Civil Action		
	RETURN OF SERVICE	
Service of the Summons and complaint was made by m	ne ⁽¹⁾ DATE	
NAME OF SERVER (PRINT)	TITLE	
Check one box below to indicate appropriate me	ethod of service	
☐ Served personally upon the defendant. Pl		
☐ Left copies thereof at the defendant's dwe discretion then residing therein.	elling house or usual place of abode with a pe	rson of suitable age and
Name of person with whom the summons	s and complaint were left:	
☐ Returned unexecuted:		
☐ Other (specify):		
e	STATEMENT OF SERVICE FEES	
3		
FRAVEL SERVICE		тотаl твь \$0.00
FRAVEL SERVIC	DECLARATION OF SERVER under the laws of the United States of Americ	TB1 \$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury to	DECLARATION OF SERVER under the laws of the United States of Americ	TB1 \$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury to contained in the Return of Service and State	DECLARATION OF SERVER under the laws of the United States of Americannent of Service Fees is true and correct. Signature of Server	TB1 \$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury to contained in the Return of Service and State	DECLARATION OF SERVER under the laws of the United States of Americ ment of Service Fees is true and correct.	TB1 \$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury to contained in the Return of Service and State	DECLARATION OF SERVER under the laws of the United States of Americannent of Service Fees is true and correct. Signature of Server	TB1 \$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury to contained in the Return of Service and State	DECLARATION OF SERVER under the laws of the United States of Americannent of Service Fees is true and correct. Signature of Server	TB1 \$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury to contained in the Return of Service and State	DECLARATION OF SERVER under the laws of the United States of Americannent of Service Fees is true and correct. Signature of Server	TB1 \$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury to contained in the Return of Service and State	DECLARATION OF SERVER under the laws of the United States of Americannent of Service Fees is true and correct. Signature of Server	TB1 \$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury to contained in the Return of Service and State	DECLARATION OF SERVER under the laws of the United States of Americannent of Service Fees is true and correct. Signature of Server	TB1 \$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury to contained in the Return of Service and State	DECLARATION OF SERVER under the laws of the United States of Americannent of Service Fees is true and correct. Signature of Server	TB1 \$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury to contained in the Return of Service and State	DECLARATION OF SERVER under the laws of the United States of Americannent of Service Fees is true and correct. Signature of Server	TB1 \$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury to contained in the Return of Service and State	DECLARATION OF SERVER under the laws of the United States of Americannent of Service Fees is true and correct. Signature of Server	TB1 \$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury to contained in the Return of Service and State	DECLARATION OF SERVER under the laws of the United States of Americannent of Service Fees is true and correct. Signature of Server	TB1 \$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury to contained in the Return of Service and State	DECLARATION OF SERVER under the laws of the United States of Americannent of Service Fees is true and correct. Signature of Server	TB1 \$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury to contained in the Return of Service and State	DECLARATION OF SERVER under the laws of the United States of Americannent of Service Fees is true and correct. Signature of Server	TB1 \$0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury to contained in the Return of Service and State	DECLARATION OF SERVER under the laws of the United States of Americannent of Service Fees is true and correct. Signature of Server	TB1 \$0.00